

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

\_\_\_\_\_ Individually and on Behalf of  
All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PORTOLA PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,  
SCOTT GARLAND, SHELDON KOENIG,  
and MARDI C. DIER,

Defendants.

Case No.:

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

1 Plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_ (“Plaintiff”), alleges upon personal knowledge as to allegations  
2 specifically pertaining to Plaintiff and, as to all other matters, upon the investigation of counsel,  
3 which included, without limitation: (a) review and analysis of public filings made by Portola  
4 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Portola” or the “Company”) and other related parties and non-parties  
5 with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”); (b) review and  
6 analysis of press releases and other publications disseminated by certain of the Defendants and  
7 other related non-parties; (c) review of news articles, shareholder communications, conference  
8 calls and postings on Portola’s website concerning the Company’s public statements; and (d)  
9 review of other publicly available information concerning Portola and the Individual Defendants  
10 (as defined below).  
11

### 12 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

13  
14 1. This is a federal securities class action against Portola and certain of its officers for  
15 violations of the federal securities laws. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of all persons or  
16 entities that purchased or otherwise acquired Portola common stock from May 8, 2019 through  
17 January 9, 2020, inclusive (the “Class Period”), seeking to pursue remedies under the Securities  
18 Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). Plaintiff’s claims allege that defendants engaged in  
19 a fraudulent scheme to artificially inflate the Company’s stock price.  
20

21 2. Portola is a biopharmaceutical company that develops and commercializes  
22 treatments for thrombosis and other hematologic diseases. Its lead product is Andexxa, marketed  
23 as Ondexxya in Europe. Andexxa is for patients treated with rivaroxaban or apixaban, when  
24 anticoagulation needs to be reversed due to life-threatening or uncontrolled bleeding.

25 3. During the Class Period, the Company misleadingly touted Andexxa’s revenues  
26 and future prospects – calling it one of the most successful drug launches in history and hailing  
27 the Company’s purportedly exceptional execution on the Andexxa launch as the catalyst for  
28

1 continued robust revenue growth. However, the Company failed to warn investors of significant  
2 risks and trends that had already materialized. While Portola emphasized “strong demand for  
3 Andexxa,” “deepening utilization within existing accounts” at hospitals, and broad usage for the  
4 drug in a variety of medical situations, in reality, the opposite was true. As the Company knew  
5 but concealed from investors, the “strong demand” for Andexxa simply did not exist. Andexxa’s  
6 astronomically high wholesale price of up to \$49,500 per dose forced many of Portola’s clients to  
7 perform utilization reviews of Andexxa’s cost effectiveness as a treatment to determine whether  
8 to continue to utilize Andexxa beyond a few trial months. Consequently, a number of clients had  
9 drastically “curtailed use of Andexxa following drug utilization reviews.” This caused Andexxa’s  
10 quarterly sales growth to Tier 1 hospitals, Portola’s most important accounts, to collapse to zero  
11 or “flat.”

12  
13  
14 4. On January 9, 2020, Portola announced preliminary net revenues of \$28 million for  
15 the fourth quarter of 2019 that fell short by a wide margin of the \$41 million consensus  
16 expectations. Portola executives were forced to admit that Andexxa demand was falling  
17 dramatically due to “typical” hospital utilization reviews and the short shelf life of a version of the  
18 product. In addition, the Company disclosed that it was taking a substantial charge of \$5 million  
19 for unused and returned Andexxa product previously recognized as revenue and incorporated into  
20 Portola’s revenue growth numbers, largely stemming from selling a version of Andexxa with an  
21 ultra-low shelf life of as little as six months.

22  
23 5. On this news, the Company’s share price plummeted by \$9.98, or approximately  
24 40%, to close at \$14.76 per share on January 10, 2020. On the same day, Oppenheimer issued a  
25 report on Portola that stated it was, “[m]oving to the [s]idelines on Andexxa [h]eadwinds” because  
26 the utilization reviews “signal hospital interest in Andexxa likely crossed a critical threshold.” The  
27 fallout from the disclosure was so bad that Portola had to conduct a second investor call on January  
28

1 14, 2020, where Defendants disclosed that Andexxa’s net revenues were also impacted by “lower  
2 distributor purchases to manage inventory” in order “to keep their inventory levels at a constant  
3 level in the fourth quarter.”

4 6. As alleged herein, throughout the Class Period, Defendants made materially false  
5 and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts about the  
6 Company’s business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose to  
7 investors: (1) the six month shelf life of the short-dated Andexxa was causing the Company to face  
8 a significant risk of returns from customers due to expiration before use; (2) Portola was shifting  
9 to a longer-dated Andexxa version with a shelf life of up to 36 months to exchange with short-  
10 dated versions at no cost to customers but at significant expense to the Company; (3) Portola had  
11 not established adequate reserves for returns; (4) because of utilization reviews, financially  
12 strapped hospitals and healthcare organizations were curtailing usage of Andexxa in order to make  
13 more efficient use of their budgets; (5) certain distributors were cutting back on orders of Andexxa  
14 as they were awash with inventory of Andexxa; (6) as a result, Portola was reasonably likely to  
15 need to “catch up” on accounting for return reserves; and (7) therefore, Defendants’ positive  
16 statements about the Company’s business, operations, and prospects were materially misleading  
17 and/or lacked a reasonable basis.

18 7. As a result of Defendants’ wrongful acts and omissions and the precipitous decline  
19 in the market value of the Company’s common stock, Plaintiff and other Class members have  
20 suffered significant losses and damages.

21  
22  
23  
24 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

25 8. The claims asserted herein arise under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange  
26 Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC (17 C.F.R.  
27 § 240.10b-5).  
28

1           9.       This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28  
2 U.S.C. § 1331, Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa).

3           10.       Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), Section  
4 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa(c)). Substantial acts in furtherance of the alleged fraud  
5 or the effects of the fraud have occurred in this Judicial District. Many of the acts charged herein,  
6 including the dissemination of materially false and/or misleading information, occurred in  
7 substantial part in this Judicial District, as Portola is headquartered in this district.

8           11.       In connection with the acts, transactions, and conduct alleged herein, Defendants  
9 directly and indirectly used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including the  
10 United States mail, interstate telephone communications, and the facilities of a national securities  
11 exchange.

12  
13  
14                                   **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

15           12.       Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil  
16 Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a class, consisting of all persons and entities that purchased  
17 or otherwise acquired Portola common stock between May 8, 2019 and January 9, 2020, inclusive,  
18 and who were damaged thereby (the “Class”). Excluded from the Class are Defendants, the  
19 officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate families  
20 and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns, and any entity in which Defendants  
21 have or had a controlling interest.

22           13.       The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is  
23 impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Portola’s common shares actively traded on the  
24 NASDAQ. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can  
25 only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are at least hundreds  
26 or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Millions of shares of Portola common stock were  
27  
28

1 traded publicly during the Class Period on the NASDAQ. Record owners and other members of  
2 the Class may be identified from records maintained by Portola or its transfer agent and may be  
3 notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily  
4 used in securities class actions.

5  
6 14. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all  
7 members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of  
8 federal law that is complained of herein.

9 15. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class  
10 and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation.

11 16. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and  
12 predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the  
13 questions of law and fact common to the Class are:  
14

- 15 a) whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts and  
16 omissions as alleged herein;
- 17 b) whether Defendants participated in and pursued the common course of  
18 conduct complained of herein;
- 19 c) whether documents, press releases, and other statements disseminated to the  
20 investing public and the Company's shareholders during the Class Period  
21 misrepresented material facts about the business, finances, and prospects of  
22 Portola;
- 23 d) whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the  
24 Class Period misrepresented and/or omitted to disclose material facts about  
25 the business, finances, value, performance and prospects of Portola;
- 26 e) whether the market price of Portola common stock during the Class Period  
27 was artificially inflated due to the material misrepresentations and failures  
28 to correct the material misrepresentations complained of herein; and
- f) the extent to which the members of the Class have sustained damages and  
the proper measure of damages.



1 to be misleading prior to, or shortly after, their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to  
2 prevent their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions and access to  
3 material non-public information available to them, the Individual Defendants knew that the  
4 adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to, and were being concealed from, the public,  
5 and that the positive representations which were being made were then materially false and/or  
6 misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements pleaded herein.  
7

8 24. The Company and the Individual Defendants are collectively referred to as the  
9 “Defendants.”

## 10 **SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS**

### 11 **Background**

12 25. Portola is a biopharmaceutical company that develops and commercializes  
13 treatments for thrombosis and other hematologic diseases.  
14

15 26. Portola’s lead drug is Andexxa. Andexxa prevents two kinds of blood thinners,  
16 apixaban and rivaroxaban, from inhibiting Factor Xa, thereby restoring a patient’s clotting ability.  
17 As an orphan drug developed to treat extremely rare medical conditions, Andexxa is  
18 astronomically expensive with wholesale prices of between \$25,000 - \$50,000 per dose. Andexxa  
19 comprises nearly 100% of the Company’s revenues and is vital to the Company’s financial  
20 performance and future business prospects.  
21

22 27. The FDA approved Andexxa for sale on a limited basis in the U.S. in May 2018,  
23 and for full commercial sale in December 2018. While Andexxa is the only FDA-approved drug  
24 of its kind, older and much cheaper alternative treatments exist to treat severe bleeds in patients  
25 taking anti-coagulants. Moreover, there are other blood thinners besides apixaban and rivaroxaban.  
26

27 28. Faced with such a high-priced drug, many hospitals that had tried out Andexxa later  
28 undertook formal reviews of their usage of Andexxa in order to determine the most cost-effective



1 treatment for patients with bleeds. Portola disclosed that these reviews take between 6-12 months  
2 to complete. Thus, many hospitals had yet to establish firm utilization patterns for Andexxa,  
3 meaning the ultimate demand for Andexxa was not known because first adopter hospitals were  
4 essentially under a trial period.

5  
6 29. Portola had initially been selling a “short-dated” version of Andexxa with a shelf-  
7 life of between 6-12 months from the time it arrived to the distributor. Customers that purchased  
8 this short-dated Andexxa could return expired product at no cost and exchange for “longer-dated”  
9 product with a shelf life of up to 36 months that Portola had begun to sell later in the Class Period.

10  
11 **Materially False and Misleading  
Statements Issued During the Class Period**

12 30. On May 8, 2019, the Company issued a press release announcing its financial  
13 results for the first quarter ended March 31, 2019. The Company reported total revenues of \$22.2  
14 million for the first quarter of 2019, compared to \$6.6 million for the first quarter of 2018. Total  
15 first quarter revenues included \$20.3 million in net product revenues from Andexxa sales, or 45%  
16 more than the previous quarter. In the Company’s earnings release, Garland represented that “our  
17 first quarter results continue to reflect strong demand for Andexxa, as well as focused execution  
18 on our commercial launch.”

19  
20 31. Later that day, the Company conducted an earnings call where Koenig represented  
21 that the “daily demand [for Andexxa] continues to grow” as “hospitals will continue to come online  
22 at a rate that is approximately consistent with what we have seen in the last few quarters.” Garland  
23 added that the Company was “very happy with what we’ve been seeing so far with the reorder rate”  
24 from hospitals, as those “reorder rates are really reflecting true pull through and underlying  
25 demand.” Furthermore, Garland represented that there was “enthusiasm and the desire from what  
26 we might call a nontarget hospital to stock this drug,” which therefore “speaks both to the  
27 significant value of the drug as well as the desire to use the product as quickly as possible.”  
28

1 Accordingly, Garland underscored that he was “actually very, very pleased with the uptake of  
2 Andexxa so far” and “expected that the current trajectory should continue at a linear rate” with  
3 respect to revenues, as the “utilization on a per hospital level [] deepen[s] both as physicians get  
4 used to the product and as hospitals continue to go through their protocol development.” Koenig  
5 further represented that “the amount of inventory with our distributors remain relatively constant  
6 throughout the quarter and is in line with industry norms.” Koenig further represented that the  
7 Company is “seeing that the utilization of Andexxa is both ICH bleeds and also in other bleeds  
8 outside of ICH. So we’re seeing a mix of all types of bleeds that are currently being treated.”  
9 Similarly, Garland represented that he was “pleasantly surprised by the fact that the drug is being  
10 used broadly.”  
11

12           32. Also on May 8, 2019, the Company filed a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the  
13 quarter ended March 31, 2019 (“10-Q Q12019”), affirming the previously reported financial  
14 results. The 10-Q Q12019 was signed by Garland and Dier and contained signed certifications  
15 pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”) by Garland and Dier.  
16

17           33. The Company represented in this 10-Q:

18           As of March 31, 2019, we maintain a reserve of \$6.6 million for excess and  
19 obsolescence inventories. We recorded a related charge to cost of sales of \$3.9  
20 million during the three months ended March 31, 2019. In developing the estimate  
21 for inventory reserve, we used estimates of demand. If it is determined that  
22 inventory utilization will further diminish based on estimates of demand, additional  
23 inventory write-downs may be required.

24           34. In the 10-Q, the Company represented that Portola’s operations “may be affected  
25 by a variety of factors, including the level of demand and market acceptance,” and that the  
26 Company’s success depended on the “degree of market acceptance” and “the willingness of  
27 physicians and healthcare organizations to change their current treatment practices” and “the  
28 willingness of hospitals and hospital systems to include our products as treatment options.”

1           35.     On June 6, 2019, Garland participated in the William Blair Growth Stock  
2 Conference where he represented that “the reorder rate” for Andexxa, the rate for “hospitals that  
3 actually order a second or third or fourth time, is now moved up to 55%, we went from 50% in Q4  
4 to 55% in Q1 2019.”

5  
6           36.     On June 11, 2019, Garland participated in the Goldman Sachs Global Healthcare  
7 Conference. Garland represented that the Company “track[s] the number of accounts that have  
8 ordered at least once,” stressed that on a quarter-on-quarter basis, Portola had “about 100 new  
9 hospitals ordering each quarter,” and touted that there was “enough data to feel very confident in  
10 both the short and the long-term trajectory of Andexxa.”

11           37.     On August 7, 2019, Portola issued a press release announcing its financial results  
12 for the second quarter ended June 30, 2019. The Company reported that Andexxa net product  
13 revenues grew to \$27.1 million of the Company’s total revenue in the quarter of \$28.4 million,  
14 compared to only \$4 million during the same period for the prior year. In the Company’s earnings  
15 release, Garland touted “this is our fifth consecutive quarter of strong revenue growth reflecting  
16 our exceptional launch execution and continued demand for Andexxa.”

17  
18           38.     During the earnings call later in the day, Koenig represented that in order to  
19 continue to optimize its targeting efforts, the Company was “deepening utilization within existing  
20 accounts” and that it was “seeing encouraging trends.” Koenig further represented that there was  
21 “continued strength in demand for Andexxa” as “74% of our sales in the quarter came from  
22 reorders, reflecting real pull-through and increasing use in patients.” Garland represented that  
23 Andexxa was “one of the top five hospital drug launches over the last 30 years. We are clearly off  
24 to a fantastic start.” In fact, Garland affirmatively represented that Portola tracked the usage of  
25 Andexxa by hospital, as they “are definitely seeing usage in patients outside of the intracranial  
26 hemorrhage space.” Garland also represented, “[t]here’s nothing that we’re seeing today that  
27  
28

1 makes us concerned about a lack of pull-through or a plateauing of our utilization,” and that he  
2 was, “really happy with what we’re seeing, both in terms of new account adds as well as deepening  
3 of the utilization.”

4           39. Also on August 7, 2019, the Company filed a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for  
5 the quarter ended June 30, 2019 (“10-Q Q22019”), affirming the previously reported financial  
6 results. The 10-Q Q22019 was signed by Garland and Dier and contained signed certifications  
7 pursuant to SOX by Garland and Dier.  
8

9           40. The Company represented in this 10-Q:

10           We recorded an excess and obsolescence inventory charge to cost of sales of  
11           \$ 3.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2019. In developing the  
12           estimate for inventory reserve, we used estimates of demand compared to shelf  
13           life. If it is determined that inventory utilization will further diminish based on  
14           estimates of demand, additional inventory write-downs may be required.

15           41. The 10-Q represented that Portola’s operations “may be affected by a variety of  
16 factors, including the level of demand and market acceptance,” and that the Company’s success  
17 depended on the “degree of market acceptance” and “the willingness of physicians and healthcare  
18 organizations to change their current treatment practices” and “the willingness of hospitals and  
19 hospital systems to include our products as treatment options.”

20           42. On August 7, 2019, the Company filed a Registration Statement and Prospectus  
21 under a Form S-3 (“Registration Statement”). On August 12, 2019, Portola announced plans to  
22 offer its common stock in a public offering (“SPO”). The next day, Portola announced the pricing  
23 of its public offering of 8,035,715 shares of its common stock at a price to the public of \$28.00 per  
24 share. In addition, Portola granted the SPO’s underwriters a thirty-day option to purchase up to  
25 an additional 1,205,357 shares from the Company at the offering price. In total, the offering raised  
26 gross proceeds of over \$250 million for the Company.  
27  
28

1           43.     As part of the SPO, the Company filed a Prospectus Supplement under a Form  
2 424(b)(5) (“Prospectus” and with the Registration Statement, collectively the “Offering  
3 Documents”). The Offering Documents were signed by, among others, Defendants Garland and  
4 Dier. In the Offering Documents, the Company touted Andexxa as a major hospital drug by  
5 representing that “Andexxa is tracking with the most successful among 45 other acute care hospital  
6 drugs launched in the past 30 years based on average quarterly sales for the first full quarters of  
7 launch.” And while the Offering Documents incorporated certain risk disclosures from the  
8 Company’s SEC filings, including the Annual Report on a Form 10-K for the year ended December  
9 31, 2018; and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Qs for the quarters ended March 31, 2019 and June  
10 30, 2019 which warned that Portola’s operations “may be affected by a variety of factors, including  
11 the level of demand and market acceptance,” and that the Company’s success depended on the  
12 “degree of market acceptance” and “the willingness of physicians and healthcare organizations to  
13 change their current treatment practices” and “the willingness of hospitals and hospital systems to  
14 include our products as treatment options.”

17           44.     On September 10, 2019, at the Morgan Stanley Global Healthcare Conference,  
18 Garland assured investors of Andexxa’s “broad utilization” and emphasized that Portola was  
19 hearing “from our physicians ... a lot of enthusiasm for the drug, high unmet medical need, very  
20 limited treatment options and a very large and growing problem that is urgent and life-threatening.”  
21 To bolster his assertion of Andexxa’s “broad utilization,” Garland cited internal metrics on the  
22 increasing number of hospitals making their first, second, and third purchases of Andexxa; a  
23 “regular chart audit” of hospital records for patients who have been given Andexxa; and an internal  
24 benchmark analysis purportedly ranking Andexxa “in the top 5” of 45 comparable drug launches  
25 in the past 30 years. Garland further assured investors that his focus was “first and foremost” on  
26  
27  
28

1 Andexxa, which was Portola’s “first, second, third priority.” Garland said that all of this “gives a  
2 really positive picture about the future projections of this drug, both in short and long term.”

3 45. On November 5, 2019, the Company issued a press release announcing its financial  
4 results for the third quarter ended September 30, 2019 where it reported total global revenues of  
5 \$36.8 million, compared to \$14.2 million for the third quarter of 2018. Total global revenues  
6 included \$35.7 million in net product revenues from sales of Andexxa/Ondexxya. In the release,  
7 Garland represented that the Company had “delivered another quarter of strong Andexxa revenue  
8 in the U.S.” and “will continue to focus on exceptional launch execution, leveraging external  
9 support from health authorities and favorable society guidelines, and building the clinical evidence  
10 and awareness of Andexxa” as the “use of Factor Xa inhibitors in both markets continues to grow,  
11 driving the underlying market opportunity for Andexxa/Ondexxya and long-term value of Portola.”  
12

13 14 46. Later that day, the Company conducted an earnings call where Garland represented  
15 that Portola, “remain[s] confident in our ability to build long-term growth and value” and that “the  
16 demand for Andexxa was strong” because of continued execution on Andexxa’s launch, the rapid  
17 growth of the Factor Xa inhibitor market, and Portola’s success in establishing Andexxa as “the  
18 standard of care.” Garland further represented, “our revenue is being driven by new customer  
19 additions and positive utilization trends” and added, “utilization per hospital per month has been  
20 staying consistent in 2019.” Koenig represented, “existing accounts continued to show strong pull-  
21 through, with 76% of sales in the quarter coming from utilization or reorders compared to 74% in  
22 the previous quarter.” He further stated, “inventory in the channel remained steady at  
23 approximately two weeks of demand” and described the U.S. market as “very data-rich” for use in  
24 tracking orders.  
25

26 47. Also on November 5, 2019, the Company filed a quarterly report on Form 10-Q  
27 with the SEC for the period ended September 30, 2019, affirming the previously reported financial  
28

1 results. The 10-Q Q32019 was signed by Garland and Dier and contained signed certifications  
2 pursuant to SOX by Garland and Dier.

3 48. The Company represented in this 10-Q:

4 We recorded an excess and obsolescence inventory charge to cost of sales of \$4.1  
5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2019. In developing the  
6 estimate for inventory reserve, we used estimates of demand compared to shelf life.  
7 If it is determined that inventory utilization will further diminish based on estimates  
8 of demand, additional inventory write-downs may be required.

9 49. In the 10-Q, the Company represented that Portola's operations "may be affected  
10 by a variety of factors, including the level of demand and market acceptance," and that the  
11 Company's success depended on the "degree of market acceptance" and "the willingness of  
12 physicians and healthcare organizations to change their current treatment practices" and "the  
13 willingness of hospitals and hospital systems to include our products as treatment options."

14 50. The above statements identified in ¶¶ 30-41; 43-49 were materially false and/or  
15 misleading and failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations,  
16 and prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose to investors that: (1) the six month shelf  
17 life of the short-dated Andexxa caused the Company to face a significant risk of returns from  
18 customers due to expiration before use; (2) Portola was shifting to a longer-dated Andexxa version  
19 with a shelf life of up to 36 months to exchange with short-dated versions at no cost to customers  
20 but at significant expense to the Company; (3) Portola had not established adequate reserves for  
21 returns; (4) because of utilization reviews, financially strapped hospitals and healthcare  
22 organizations were curtailing usage of Andexxa in order to make more efficient use of their  
23 budgets; (5) certain distributors were cutting back on orders of Andexxa as they were awash with  
24 inventory of Andexxa; (6) as a result, Portola was reasonably likely to need to "catch up" on  
25 accounting for return reserves; and (7) therefore, Defendants' positive statements about the  
26  
27  
28

1 Company's business, operations, and prospects were materially misleading and/or lacked a  
2 reasonable basis.

### 3 The Truth Is Revealed

4 51. On January 9, 2020, Portola was forced to admit the truth about Andexxa when it  
5 reported the Company's financial results for the fourth quarter of 2019. The Company disclosed  
6 a remarkable *27% sequential decline* in Andexxa net revenues during the fourth quarter, which it  
7 attributed to two factors: a reduction in usage of Andexxa by certain hospital clients after  
8 conducting drug utilization reviews, and a \$5 million adjustment in return reserves for short-dated  
9 Andexxa product.  
10

11 52. On this news, the Company's share price fell \$9.98 to close at \$14.76 per share on  
12 January 10, 2020, an approximately 40% decline.  
13

14 53. On January 14, 2020, Garland participated in an industry conference where he  
15 revealed that, in addition to the two factors disclosed in the Company's fourth quarter 2019  
16 earnings release, Andexxa's net revenues were also impacted by "lower distributor purchases to  
17 manage inventory" in order "to keep their inventory levels at a constant level in the fourth quarter."  
18 In doing so, Portola essentially admitted that its distributors were so overstocked with Andexxa  
19 product that they had stopped ordering new inventory or reduced their orders. Garland also  
20 explained that a typical utilization review occurs "every six to twelve months."  
21

### 22 UNDISCLOSED ADVERSE FACTS

23 54. The market for Portola's common stock was open, well-developed and efficient at  
24 all relevant times. As a result of these materially false and/or misleading statements, and/or  
25 failures to disclose, Portola's common stock traded at artificially inflated prices during the Class  
26 Period. Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired Portola's  
27  
28



1 common stock relying upon the integrity of the market price of the Company's common stock and  
2 market information relating to Portola, and have been damaged thereby.

3 55. During the Class Period, Defendants materially misled the investing public, thereby  
4 inflating the price of Portola's common stock, by publicly issuing false and/or misleading  
5 statements and/or omitting to disclose material facts necessary to make Defendants' statements, as  
6 set forth herein, not false and/or misleading. The statements and omissions were materially false  
7 and/or misleading because they failed to disclose material adverse information and/or  
8 misrepresented the truth about Portola's business, operations, and prospects as alleged herein.  
9

10 56. At all relevant times, the material misrepresentations and omissions particularized  
11 in this Complaint directly or proximately caused or were a substantial contributing cause of the  
12 damages sustained by Plaintiff and other members of the Class. As described herein, during the  
13 Class Period, Defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false and/or misleading  
14 statements about Portola's financial well-being and prospects.  
15

16 57. These material misstatements and omissions had the cause and effect of creating in  
17 the market an unrealistically positive assessment of the Company and its financial well-being and  
18 prospects, thus causing the Company's common stock to be overvalued and artificially inflated at  
19 all relevant times. Defendants' materially false and misleading statements made during the Class  
20 Period resulted in Plaintiff and the other members of the Class purchasing the Company's common  
21 stock at artificially inflated prices, thus causing the damages complained of herein.  
22

### 23 **LOSS CAUSATION**

24 58. During the Class Period, as detailed herein, the Defendants engaged in a scheme to  
25 deceive the market and a course of conduct that artificially inflated the prices of Portola common  
26 stock and operated as a fraud or deceit on Class Period purchasers of Portola common stock by  
27 failing to disclose to investors that the Company's financial results were materially misleading and  
28

1 misrepresented material information. When the Defendants' misrepresentations and fraudulent  
2 conduct were disclosed and became apparent to the market, the prices of Portola common stock  
3 fell precipitously as the prior inflation came out of the Company's stock price. As a result of their  
4 purchases of Portola common stock during the Class Period, Plaintiff and the other Class members  
5 suffered economic loss.  
6

7 59. By failing to disclose the true state of the Company's financial statements, investors  
8 were not aware of the true state of the Company's financial status. Therefore, the Defendants  
9 presented a misleading picture of Portola's business practices and procedures. Thus, instead of  
10 truthfully disclosing during the Class Period the true state of the Company's business, the  
11 Defendants caused Portola to conceal the truth.  
12

13 60. The Defendants' false and misleading statements had the intended effect and caused  
14 Portola's common stock to trade at artificially inflated levels throughout the Class Period. The  
15 stock price drop discussed herein caused real economic loss to investors who purchased the  
16 Company's common stock during the Class Period.  
17

18 61. The decline in the price of Portola's common stock after the truth came to light was  
19 a direct result of the nature and extent of the Defendants' fraud finally being revealed to investors  
20 and the market. The timing and magnitude of Portola's common stock price decline negates any  
21 inference that the loss suffered by Plaintiff and the other Class members was caused by changed  
22 market conditions, macroeconomic or industry factors, or Company-specific facts unrelated to the  
23 Defendants' fraudulent conduct. The economic loss suffered by Plaintiff and the other Class  
24 members was a direct result of the Defendants' fraudulent scheme to artificially inflate the prices  
25 of Portola's common stock and the subsequent decline in the value of Portola's common stock  
26 when the Defendants' prior misrepresentations and other fraudulent conduct were revealed.  
27  
28

1 **SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS**

2 62. As alleged herein, Defendants acted with scienter since Defendants knew that the  
3 public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were  
4 materially false and/or misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or  
5 disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced  
6 in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the  
7 federal securities laws.  
8

9 63. As set forth elsewhere herein in detail, the Individual Defendants, by virtue of their  
10 receipt of information reflecting the true facts regarding Portola, their control over, and/or receipt  
11 and/or modification of Portola's allegedly materially misleading misstatements and/or their  
12 associations with the Company which made them privy to confidential proprietary information  
13 concerning Portola, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein.  
14

15 **APPLICABILITY OF PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE:**  
16 **FRAUD-ON-THE-MARKET DOCTRINE**

17 64. At all relevant times, the market for Portola's common stock was an efficient  
18 market for the following reasons, among others:

19 a. Portola shares met the requirements for listing, and was listed and actively  
20 traded on the NASDAQ, a highly efficient and automated market;

21 b. As a regulated issuer, Portola filed periodic public reports with the SEC  
22 and/or the NASDAQ;

23 c. Portola regularly communicated with public investors via established  
24 market communication mechanisms, including through regular dissemination of press releases on  
25 the national circuits of major newswire services and through other wide-ranging public disclosures,  
26 such as communications with the financial press and other similar reporting services; and/or  
27  
28

1 d. Portola was followed by securities analysts employed by brokerage firms  
2 who wrote reports about the Company, and these reports were distributed to the sales force and  
3 certain customers of their respective brokerage firms. Each of these reports was publicly available  
4 and entered the public marketplace.

5  
6 65. As a result of the foregoing, the market for Portola's common stock promptly  
7 digested current information regarding Portola from all publicly available sources and reflected  
8 such information in Portola's share price. Under these circumstances, all purchasers of Portola's  
9 common stock during the Class Period suffered similar injury through their purchase of Portola's  
10 common stock at artificially inflated prices and a presumption of reliance applies.

11  
12 66. A Class-wide presumption of reliance is also appropriate in this action under the  
13 Supreme Court's holding in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of Utah v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128 (1972),  
14 because the Class's claims are, in large part, grounded on Defendants' material misstatements  
15 and/or omissions. Because this action involves Defendants' failure to disclose material adverse  
16 information regarding the Company's business operations and financial prospects—information  
17 that Defendants were obligated to disclose—positive proof of reliance is not a prerequisite to  
18 recovery. All that is necessary is that the facts withheld be material in the sense that a reasonable  
19 investor might have considered them important in making investment decisions. Given the  
20 importance of the Class Period material misstatements and omissions set forth above, that  
21 requirement is satisfied here.

22  
23 **NO SAFE HARBOR**

24 67. The federal statutory safe harbor provided for forward-looking statements under  
25 certain circumstances does not apply to any of the allegedly false statements pleaded in this  
26 Complaint. The statements alleged to be false and misleading herein all relate to then-existing  
27 facts and conditions. In addition, to the extent certain of the statements alleged to be false may be  
28

1 characterized as forward-looking, they were not identified as “forward-looking statements” when  
2 made, and there were no meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could  
3 cause actual results to differ materially from those in the purportedly forward-looking statements.

4 68. In the alternative, to the extent that the statutory safe harbor is determined to apply  
5 to any forward-looking statements pleaded herein, Defendants are liable for those false forward-  
6 looking statements because at the time each of those forward-looking statements was made, the  
7 speaker had actual knowledge that the forward-looking statement was materially false or  
8 misleading, and/or the forward-looking statement was authorized or approved by an executive  
9 officer of Portola who knew that the statement was false when made.  
10

11 **COUNT I**

12 **Violation of Section 10(b) of The Exchange Act and**  
13 **Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder**  
14 **Against All Defendants**

15 69. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully  
16 set forth herein. This claim is asserted against all Defendants.

17 70. During the Class Period, Portola and the Individual Defendants carried out a plan,  
18 scheme and course of conduct which was intended to and, throughout the Class Period, did: (i)  
19 deceive the investing public, including Plaintiff and the other Class members, as alleged herein;  
20 (ii) artificially inflate and maintain the market price of Portola common stock; and (iii) cause  
21 Plaintiff and the other members of the Class to purchase Portola common stock at artificially  
22 inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan and course of conduct, defendants,  
23 and each of them, took the actions set forth herein.

24 71. These Defendants: (a) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (b)  
25 made untrue statements of material fact and/or omitted to state material facts necessary to make  
26 the statements not misleading; and (c) engaged in acts, practices and a course of business which  
27  
28

1 operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's common stock in an effort  
2 to maintain artificially high market prices for Portola common stock in violation of §10(b) of the  
3 Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. The Defendants are sued as primary  
4 participants in the wrongful and illegal conduct charged herein. The Individual Defendants are  
5 also sued herein as controlling persons of Portola, as alleged herein.  
6

7 72. Portola and the Individual Defendants, individually and in concert, directly and  
8 indirectly, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce and/or of the mails,  
9 engaged and participated in a continuous course of conduct to conceal adverse material  
10 information about the business, business practices, performance, operations and future prospects  
11 of Portola as specified herein. These Defendants employed devices, schemes and artifices to  
12 defraud, while in possession of material adverse non-public information and engaged in acts,  
13 practices, and a course of conduct as alleged herein in an effort to assure investors of Portola's  
14 value and performance and substantial growth, which included the making of, or the participation  
15 in the making of, untrue statements of material facts, and omitting to state material facts necessary  
16 in order to make the statements made about Portola and its business, operations and future  
17 prospects, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, as set forth  
18 more particularly herein, and engaged in transactions, practices and a course of business which  
19 operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of Portola's common stock during the Class  
20 Period.  
21

22  
23 73. Each of the Individual Defendants' primary liability, and controlling person  
24 liability, arises from the following facts: (i) each of the Individual Defendants was a high-level  
25 executive and/or director at the Company during the Class Period; (ii) each of the Individual  
26 Defendants, by virtue of his responsibilities and activities as a senior executive officer and/or  
27 director of the Company, was privy to and participated in the creation, development and reporting  
28

1 of the Company's operational and financial projections and/or reports; (iii) the Individual  
2 Defendants enjoyed significant personal contact and familiarity with each other, and were advised  
3 of and had access to other members of the Company's management team, internal reports, and  
4 other data and information about the Company's financial condition and performance at all  
5 relevant times; and (iv) the Individual Defendants were aware of the Company's dissemination of  
6 information to the investing public which they knew or recklessly disregarded was materially false  
7 and misleading.

9           74. These Defendants had actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and omissions  
10 of material facts set forth herein, or acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed to  
11 ascertain and to disclose such facts, even though such facts were readily available to them. Such  
12 Defendants' material misrepresentations and/or omissions were done knowingly or recklessly, and  
13 for the purpose and effect of concealing Portola's operating condition, business practices and  
14 future business prospects from the investing public and supporting the artificially inflated price of  
15 its common stock. As demonstrated by their overstatements and misstatements of the Company's  
16 financial condition and performance throughout the Class Period, the Individual Defendants, if  
17 they did not have actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and omissions alleged, were severely  
18 reckless in failing to obtain such knowledge by deliberately refraining from taking those steps  
19 necessary to discover whether those statements were false or misleading.

22           75. As a result of the dissemination of the materially false and misleading information  
23 and failure to disclose material facts, as set forth above, the market price of Portola common stock  
24 was artificially inflated during the Class Period. In ignorance of the fact that the market price of  
25 Portola shares was artificially inflated, and relying directly or indirectly on the false and misleading  
26 statements made by Defendants, upon the integrity of the market in which the securities trade,  
27 and/or on the absence of material adverse information that was known to or recklessly disregarded  
28

1 by the Defendants but not disclosed in public statements by these Defendants during the Class  
2 Period, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class acquired Portola common stock during the  
3 Class Period at artificially inflated high prices and were damaged thereby.

4 76. At the time of said misrepresentations and omissions, Plaintiff and the other  
5 members of the Class were ignorant of their falsity, and believed them to be true. Had Plaintiff  
6 and the other members of the Class and the marketplace known of the true performance, business  
7 practices, future prospects and intrinsic value of Portola, which were not disclosed by the  
8 Defendants, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class would not have purchased or otherwise  
9 acquired Portola common stock during the Class Period, or, if they had acquired such common  
10 stock during the Class Period, they would not have done so at the artificially inflated prices which  
11 they paid.  
12

13  
14 77. By virtue of the foregoing, Portola and the Individual Defendants each violated  
15 §10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.

16 78. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and  
17 the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their purchases of the  
18 Company's common stock during the Class Period.  
19

## 20 **COUNT II**

### 21 **Violation of Section 20(a) of The Exchange Act** 22 **Against the Individual Defendants**

23 79. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully  
24 set forth herein.

25 80. The Individual Defendants were and acted as controlling persons of Portola within  
26 the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. By virtue of their high-level  
27 positions with the Company, participation in and/or awareness of the Company's operations and/or  
28 intimate knowledge of the Company's actual performance, the Individual Defendants had the



1 power to influence and control and did influence and control, directly or indirectly, the decision-  
2 making of the Company, including the content and dissemination of the various statements which  
3 Plaintiff contends are false and misleading. Each of the Individual Defendants was provided with  
4 or had unlimited access to copies of the Company's reports, press releases, public filings and other  
5 statements alleged by Plaintiff to be misleading prior to and/or shortly after these statements were  
6 issued, and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the statements or cause the statements to be  
7 corrected.

9 81. In addition, each of the Individual Defendants had direct involvement in the day-  
10 to-day operations of the Company and, therefore, is presumed to have had the power to control or  
11 influence the particular transactions giving rise to the securities violations as alleged herein, and  
12 exercised the same.

14 82. As set forth above, Portola and the Individual Defendants each violated §10(b) and  
15 Rule 10b-5 by their acts and omissions as alleged in this Complaint. By virtue of their controlling  
16 positions, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to §20(a) of the Exchange Act. As a direct  
17 and proximate result of these Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the other members of  
18 the Class suffered damages in connection with their purchases of the Company's common stock  
19 during the Class Period.

#### 21 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

22 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

23 (a) Determining that this action is a proper class action under Rule 23 of the Federal  
24 Rules of Civil Procedure;

25 (b) Awarding compensatory damages in favor of Plaintiff and the other Class members  
26 against all defendants, jointly and severally, for all damages sustained as a result of Defendants'  
27 wrongdoing, in an amount to be proven at trial, including interest thereon;  
28

1 (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable costs and expenses incurred in  
2 this action, including counsel fees and expert fees; and

3 (d) Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.  
4

5 **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

6 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28